

# A SURVEY ON EARTHQUAKE RESISTANT BUILDINGS ON GROUND SURFACE BY USING E-TAB

THANNIRU MALYADRI, Assistant Professor, Dept of Civil Engineering, Sree Dattha Institute of Engineering & Science Sree Dattha Institute of Engineering & Science

Dr KSR MURTHY Professor, Dept of Civil Engineering

#### Abstract

On the earth surface, everyone is aware of various natural disasters such as Earthquakes, Floods, Tornadoes, Hurricanes, Dearth, and Volcanic explosions. Of-all natural disasters, Earthquakes are considered to have the less possibilities of forecasting and most disastrous and devastating one. The earthquakes usually result in a great loss of lives as well as loss of property in several places of the world. They not only cause great destruction in terms of human casualties, but also have a tremendous economic impact on the stricken regions. Although the intensity of disastrous earthquakes have been confined to a relatively few areas of the world, the catastrophic consequences of the few that have struck near centers of population have stressed on the necessity to facilitate adequate safety against this most terrible nature's quirks. India had witnessed several major disasters in the form of earthquakes for the past century. Introduction:

Recently, the unbreakable and strongest concrete buildings, mainly in cities and towns have become widespread in India. This chapter focuses on the review of literature related to seismic analysis of reinforcement solid building. A building is subjected to gravity loads like dead loads, live loads, and lateral loads consisting of earthquake and wind loads. These loads are transmitted to ground through an interrelated system of structural members. However, instant resistance frame system, dual frame system, and building with shear wall system are the most adopted systems to resist lateral forces

PLAN CONFIGURATION PROBLEMS **2.1 Torsion Irregularity** 

Torsion irregularity has to be considered when diaphragms are not flexible. Torsional

Irregularity can be recognized to exist when the highest storey drift, is calculated with design peculiarity. Axis is more than 1.2 times at the one ending of the sloping construction than the normality of the storey drifts at the other two endings of the construction. The forces from the other side reinforced constituents supposed to be a fine balancing system which is not at all restricted to important torsion. Torsion can be regarded as the situation in which the distance between the central point of the building and midpoint of mass is greater

Anveshana's International Journal of Research in Engineering and Applied Sciences

than 20% of the distance across the design in main dimension of plan. Torsion or extreme side deflection is produced in unbalanced constructions. The unusual and irregular design of the invigorating system can be resulted in long-term position or even fractional fall down. Torsion is most efficiently durable at any point farthest away from the middle of twist, such as at the corners and perimeter of the buildings

## 2.2 Re-entrant Corners

A re-entrant corner, resulting from an irregularity is characteristic of a buildings plan shape. If the configuration of a building has inside corner, then it is considered to have a re-entrant comer. It is a characteristic of buildings with an L, H, T, X and + shapes. Variations of these shapes are indicated in Figure 2.1. As per IS 1893(Part 1):2002, the design of a structure and its reinforced system include entrant nooks, where the development of construction further than the re-entrant corners are larger than 15% of its sketch in the specified direction. The re-entrant nooks of the buildings are subjected to two types of problems. First of all, they are likely to produce differences in firmness, and therefore various movements between various parts of the design of building will bring outcomes in limited stress absorption at the notch of the re-entrant corner. The second difficulty is torsion. Torsion may be resulted because the inflexibility of center point and the midpoint of mass for this configuration do not coincide.

### MODELLING AND ANALYSIS

#### 4.1 COMPUTATIONAL MODEL

Designing the structure of building incorporates the modeling and collection of its different load-carrying aspects. A model must ideally represent the complete three dimensional (3D) traits of a building, including its mass distribution, strength, stiffness and deformability. Modeling of the material goods and structural elements are discussed

# 4.1.1 Material Properties

The material goods of solid include mass, unit weight, modulus of elasticity, Poisson's ratio, shear modulus and coefficient of thermal expansion. The modulus of suppleness of unbreakable concrete material as per IS 456:2000 is given by Ec = 5000√fck Eq.(4.1) Where fck= Characteristic compressive power of concrete at 28-days in MPa. For the steel rebar, the necessary properties are yield stress and modulus of elasticity.

## SUMMARY

The major purpose of this project is to examine the behavior of eight, nine & tenth storied building with different degrees of ground slope under earthquake load. To achieve the above objectives, a detailed literature review was carried out and presented in Chapter 2 Detailed Design aspects is explained in Chapter 3 and Chapter 4 discusses in detail issues related to structural modeling. Basic modeling for the linear and nonlinear-analyses connected to RC framing structures is discussed in detail. Chapter 5 presents the analysis results and the discussions. Slope categories ranging from 00& 100 were considered. The buildings were analyzed using linear



dynamic analysis (Response spectrum method) as per the IS 1893(Part-1): 2002 loading requirement and compares with the linear static analysis. For each case, time period, base, lateral load distribution, storey displacement and torsion are to be estimated and studied the effect of ground slopes on the different results.

### REFRENCES

1. Bungalc S. Taranath "Reinforced concrete design of tall buildings", CRC Press, 2010.

2. S.K. Duggal, "Earthquake Resistant Design of Structures", Oxford University Press, 2007.

3. Pankaj Agarwal and Manish Shikhande, "Earthquake Resistant Design of Structures", Prentice Hall of India, 2006.

4. Bungalc S. Taranath "Wind and Earthquake resistant buildings, Structural analysis and design""Marcel Dekker, 2005 5. Murty, C.V.R., IIT, Kanpur, BMTPC, New Delhi 'Earthquake tips' Feb 2004.

6. IS1893 (Part 1): 2002 "Criteria for earthquake resistant design of structures", Part1 General Provisions and building, Bureau of Indian Standards.

7. IS: 456-2000 "Indian standard Code of practice for Plain and Reinforced Concrete (Fourth revision)", Bureau of Indian Standards.

8. Paulay.T and Priestley, M.J.N., 'Seismic design of reinforced concrete and masonry buildings', John-Wiley Publishers, 1992.

9. A.S. Warudkar, R.P. Sudarsan and G. Visalakshi-"Effect of torsional stiffness on the dynamic response of RC framed structures" Proceedings of the Tenth Symposium on Earthquake Engineering, Nov. 16-18, 1994, Roorkee.